Maxillofacial Trauma: Challenges In ED Diagnosis And Management

2:20 a.m. Saturday morning: You just finished with a nine-year-old, new-onset diabetic in ketoacidosis and a 37-year-old with an inferior myocardial infarction. There aren’t any patients in the waiting room and the house supervisor is visiting. She uses the ‘Q’ word and asks if all is quiet now … “Bad choice,” you think.

Just then, the survival ambulance service calls with an intoxicated patient who has obviously been assaulted. This 19-year-old male was at a bar when he ‘laid moves’ on a female patron. Her husband objected to the situation and applied ‘remedial correction’ several times with a beer bottle to the patient’s face. He apparently stopped only when the beer bottle broke. The patient is responsive to verbal stimuli, with abundant curses and imprecations. He is in spinal immobilization and strapped to a back board both for his protection and the protection of the EMS crew. The paramedics note that the patient’s respiratory rate is 20, his heart rate is 108, and his bedside glucose test measures 92. They have been unable to obtain intravenous access. They note significant facial edema on the left side, a nasal deformity, jaw deformity, and abundant epistaxis.

Among the myriad injuries seen in the emergency department, facial trauma is one of the most common. Trauma to the maxillofacial area mandates special attention. Due to their close proximity and frequent involvement, the vital structures in the head and neck region must be evaluated whenever the head and face are injured. Additionally, the psychological impact of disfigurement associated with facial and maxillary trauma can be devastating.1-3

Given the broad variety of facial injuries and potential concomitant
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complications, management can be challenging even for the most experienced clinicians.

Goals in the treatment of facial injuries include a return of normal ocular, masticatory, and nasal function, restoration of speech, rapid bone healing, and an acceptable facial and dental esthetic result. With ever-increasing sophistication in imaging, emergency providers can rapidly diagnose small facial fractures. However, subtle complex facial fractures with CSF leaks, temporal bone fractures, and cranial nerve injuries can remain undiagnosed. These missed or delayed diagnoses can lead to significant morbidity or death.

The goal of this article is to assist the emergency physician in the initial management of patients who have sustained a facial injury. Since the emergency physician is rarely involved in operative decisions, there is no effort to discuss the surgical treatment of these fractures and soft tissue injuries beyond the initial stabilization. This article does not discuss pure ocular or lid lesions.

**Critical Appraisal Of The Literature**

An electronic literature search of MEDLINE and PubMed was performed to obtain the references for this publication. The search words maxillofacial, trauma, mandibular, maxillary, facial, and imaging were used. The reference section of each article was reviewed for additional references pertinent to the subject matter. There are few prospective studies of maxillofacial fractures and their complications. There are many anecdotal case reports and retrospective series examinations. As such, the majority of the studies reviewed were retrospective in nature and were observational rather than designed.

There are no national practice guidelines available for patients presenting with maxillofacial trauma, and there are no Cochrane studies that deal directly with maxillofacial trauma. Throughout the literature, the indications for surgery and the timing of surgery vary tremendously. This lack of clear data makes the management of many facial fractures controversial.

Best Evidence Topics (Best Bets, available at www.bestbets.org) were found for the following considerations:

- Radiographs for facial trauma
- The use of antibiotics for orbital floor fractures
- Radiological diagnosis of mandibular fractures
- Early manipulation of nasal fractures
- The utility of the tongue blade test for the diagnosis of mandibular fractures

**Epidemiology And Etiology**

More than three million facial injuries occur in the United States each year.4 Sports, accidental falls, motor vehicle accidents, assaults, and work-related accidents account for the majority of maxillofacial injuries.4,5 Depending on the trauma center, assaults vie with motor vehicle accidents for the number one spot.6-9 Among sport-related injuries, boxing is particularly associated with a high incidence facial injuries.10

In a series of over 200 consecutive facial fractures seen in an urban trauma center, assaults accounted for nearly 50%.4 The adult male to female ratio is 3:1, but this is reduced to 3:2 in pediatric patients.

The incidence of concomitant major injuries is reported to be as high as 50% in high-impact facial fractures, compared to 21% for lower impact fractures.6 Fractures are more commonly associated with motor vehicle collisions, rather than other blunt trauma. With respect to this topic, it is worthwhile to point out that airbag deployment considerably decreases the incidence and severity of orbital fractures for front-seat occupants in frontal automobile crashes.5,11

Fractures of the facial skeleton are relatively uncommon in children and adolescents.12,13 This low incidence may reflect the underdeveloped facial skeleton and paranasal sinuses as well as the un-erupted dentition which provide additional strength to the mandible and maxilla. The well-known flexibility of the pediatric skeleton will also reduce the frequency of fractures.

**Pathophysiology**

**Nasal Fractures**

The nose is the most frequently injured facial structure, accounting for approximately 40% of bony injuries in facial trauma.14 Assaults and sports injuries cause most nasal fractures in adults, followed by falls and motor vehicle collisions. Play and sports account for most nasal fractures in children. Nasal fractures may occur in association with other facial injuries or by themselves.

The nose is supported by cartilage anteriorly and inferiorly and by bone posteriorly and superiorly. The paired nasal bones, the maxilla, and the nasal process of the frontal bone form a framework that supports the cartilaginous parts of the nose. The paired nasal bones are wedge-shaped and joined at the midline. The lower half of the nasal bone is thin and broad, whereas the upper portion is thicker and is firmly supported by an articulation with the frontal bone and the frontal process of the maxilla. The thinner portion of the nose is more liable to fracture while the thicker portion near the frontal bone is more difficult to injure.15 Nonetheless, the force required to fracture the nasal bones is less than for any other facial bone.15 Likewise, due to the natural taper of the nose, the supporting nasal septum becomes increasingly thin and tends to fracture more towards the tip of the nose. A fracture of the septum unfavorably affects the alignment of the nose during the healing process.

Many different methods have been proposed for classifying nasal and septal fractures. Factors to
consider in patients with an injury to the nose include:

1. Cause of the trauma
   a. A direct frontal blow can depress the
dorsum of the nose, causing the bones to
telecope posteriorly.
   b. A strong force from any direction can
comminate the nasal bones and cause an
"open-book" fracture of the nose.15
   c. A lateral blow can cause displacement to
the opposite side of the face and leave a
depression on the side of the impact.
   d. Traction and torsion injuries can cause
disruption of the cartilage.16

2. History of prior facial injuries
3. Any prior nasal deformity
4. Any prior nasal obstruction

The patient’s conception of the original shape of
his/her nose is often inaccurate, and edema may
mask bone deviation. Comparison with a picture
taken prior to trauma is often helpful. Such pictures
are often found in ID documents such as a passport or
driver’s license.

Bony fractures of the nose may involve one or
both nasal bones, the frontal process of the maxilla,
the bony septum, and — in severe trauma — the
nasal-orbital-ethmoid complex (Figure 1). The most
likely area of fracture of the nasal bones is the thinner
lower two-thirds.16 Simple nasal fractures must be
separated from the more serious naso-orbito-ethmoid
fracture (NOE fracture) where the fracture extends
into the nose through the ethmoid bones. These
fractures may cause injury to the dura and a subse-
quent cerebrospinal fluid leak.

Suspect a naso-orbito-ethmoid fracture when the
patient has telecanthus (widening of the nasal bridge
with a detached medial canthus). These patients will
often have either CSF rhinorrhea, epistaxis, or both.

Epistaxis is commonly associated with nasal
trauma and is easily explained by the dense vascular
network (Kiesselbach’s plexus) that supplies the nose.
Bleeding can also originate from other locations
within the nose when the nose is fractured. Anterior
nasal bleeding can originate from the anterior eth-
moid artery (a branch of the ophthalmic artery) and
posteriorly from a branch of the sphenopalatine
artery. Packing the nose usually controls this hemor-
rhage. If packing fails to control the bleeding, consul-
tation with an otolaryngologist is appropriate as
specific vessel ligation may be needed.17

Complications most commonly encountered with
nasal fractures include septal hematoma, nasal
obstruction, and significant deformity. An unrecog-
nized septal hematoma may strip the underlying
septal cartilage of its vascular supply which can result
in cartilage destruction. Secondary infection of the
hematoma, often by S. aureus, can occur. Undiag-
nosed hematomas of the nasal septum that progress to
abscess formation can cause cartilage necrosis and loss
of nasal support, leading to the so-called “saddle-nose
deformity” and septal perforation.

Orbital Fractures

Trauma to the face can cause a fracture along the
weak points of the orbit. The patterns of fractures are
well described: orbital-zygomatic (see “Zygomatic
Fracture”), naso-orbito-ethmoid (see “Nasal Frac-
ture”), and internal orbital. Different combinations of
these basic patterns can produce combined or com-
plex orbital fractures.

The thinnest and weakest area of the orbit is the
floor. Typically, the fracture occurs in the posterome-
dial region of the orbital floor – a “blow-out” fracture
(Figures 2 and 3). The usual mechanism is a blow to
the eye with the forces being transmitted by the soft
tissues of the orbit downward through the thin floor
of the orbit.18,19 When this fracture occurs, contents of
the orbit (including fat, soft tissues, the inferior
oblique muscle, or the inferior rectus muscle) can
protrude through the fracture and become
entrapped.20 A retrospective review of 424 patients
suggested that patients with a medial orbital floor

---

**Figure 1. Bones And Cartilages Of The Nose (Right Side)**

[Image: Reprinted from Gray’s Anatomy, Henry Gray (1825–1861).
Anatomy of the Human Body. 1918.]

**Figure 2. CT Of A Blowout Fracture With Entrapment**

[Image: This demonstrates the entrapped muscle extruding into
the maxillary sinus. Reprinted from Stack, Lawrence B. Emergency
Medicine Atlas. Copyright © 2007 The McGraw-Hill Companies. All
rights reserved.]
component of fracture had a significantly higher incidence of diplopia.21

Entrapment of the inferior oblique or the inferior rectus muscle can lead to restriction of orbital movements and resultant diplopia. The entrapment of both muscle and soft tissues can displace the globe posteriorly and inferiorly, adding to the diplopia and enophthalmos. The diplopia is most pronounced in upward gaze. Approximately 24% of these fractures are associated with ocular injury as well as the fracture.22

Because the infraorbital nerve passes through the orbital floor, hypesthesia often occurs in its sensory distribution with orbital floor fractures (Figure 4).

The term “trapdoor” is used to describe fractures with minimal displacement of the bony fragments. Orbital floor fractures in children may have a higher incidence of trapdoor entrapment of muscle or fat; this entrapped orbital tissue can potentially lead to ischemia and necrosis. The softer, more flexible bones in children cause the orbital floor to bend, crack, and form this trapdoor. The resultant tissue displacement can cause a white-eyed appearance.23,24 In these patients, a shorter time to surgical intervention can yield significantly better outcomes.25 In children, nausea and vomiting can be predictive of trapdoor fractures with entrapment.

Fractures of the superior, lateral, and inferior rims of the orbit can occur in isolation or in association with other cranio-facial injuries. Careful palpation may reveal a step off at the site of a fracture. Cheek paresthesias are commonly found with inferior orbital rim fractures that traumatize the infraorbital nerve.

Orbital roof fractures in adults are uncommon and are usually associated with high-impact injuries to the head and face.26 Multiple facial and neurological complications are common in these injuries. In children, orbital roof fractures are seen with lesser force.

Zygomatic Fractures

The zygoma forms the malar eminence, determines the amount of anterior and lateral cheek projection, and supports the lateral wall and floor of the orbit. It is a prominent bone in the face, making it subject to trauma and fractures. There are four parts or ‘processes’ that comprise the zygoma: the maxillary process, the temporal process, the frontal process, and the orbital process. Inferiorly, the maxillary process articulates with the maxilla at the zygomaticomaxillary suture. Laterally, the temporal process of the zygoma joins the temporal bone to form the zygomatic arch, anterior to the auditory canal. Medially, the orbital process articulates with the greater wing of the sphenoid bone. Superiorly, the frontal process articulates with the frontal bone at the zygomaticofrontal suture (Figure 5).

Because the zygoma is a thick bone, it is rare to have an isolated fracture of the zygoma. Most
commonly, the fracture extends through adjacent bones which are often thinner. Zygomatic arch fractures tend to occur in two (occasionally three) places along the arch of the zygoma (Figure 6). A fracture may occur at each end of the arch as well as in the middle, resulting in a v-shaped fracture. This can impinge on the underlying temporalis muscle, resulting in trismus.

A zygomaticomaxillary fracture (tripod or malar fracture) results from a direct blow to the cheek. The fractures occur at the articulation of the zygoma with the frontal bone and the zygomatic arch. These fractures are orbital fractures because the internal orbit can be disrupted by the displacement of the zygomatic body. The maxillary component of this fracture may include the anterolateral wall of the maxillary antrum. Zygomaticomaxillary fractures are often associated with severe facial edema, so the true extent of the injury may be obscured. As with other fractures involving the orbit, diplopia may be reported by the patient. Depression of the inferior orbital rim or paresthesia in the distribution of the infraorbital nerve suggests extension into the orbit or maxilla.

Mandibular Fractures

The mandible surrounds the tongue and is the only mobile cranial bone; it contains the lower dentition and significant blood vessels, muscles, and nerves. The mandible is actually two bones fused in the midline symphysis. Each bone has a thick buccal and lingual cortex and a thin medullary cavity. The inferior alveolar nerve enters the mandible at the mandibular foramen with the inferior alveolar artery and crosses the medullary cavity, exiting at the mental foramen. It traverses the medullary cavity below the level of the tooth roots. This nerve provides sensation to the mandibular teeth as well as the skin and mucosa of the lower lip.

The mandible is connected to the cranium at the temporomandibular joint. The appropriate functioning of the mandible determines the occlusal contact of the teeth. Mandibular fractures can cause a variety of short and long-term impairments including temporomandibular joint pain, malocclusion, inability to masticate, salivary disorders, obstructive sleep apnea, and chronic pain. Mandibular fractures can be debilitating and disfiguring. The mandible is the tenth most commonly injured bone in the body and the second most commonly injured bone in the face.27 Fractures of the mandible can be found in the symphysis, body, angle, ramus, and condyle or subcondylar areas (Figure 7).28

When the maxillary area or the mandibular are damaged, the examiner should also suspect a possible injury to the parotid gland and its associated duct. The parotid gland lies under the middle third of a line between the commissure of the lips and the tragus of the ear. Any laceration in this area may damage the parotid duct and exploration of the wound should be performed to evaluate this possibility. If ductal injury has occurred, identification of the proximal and distal segments with primary reanastomosis is the ideal therapy. Reanastomosis is performed over a polyurethane catheter which may be removed when adequate healing has occurred.

The mandible is often fractured at more than one location because of the ring structure formed by its articulation at the temporomandibular joint. In addition to the traditional fracture classifications — open, closed, simple, complex, or comminuted — mandibular fractures are also described as favorable or unfavorable, depending on whether the muscles of mastication tend to reduce or distract the fracture, respectively.

Alveolar fractures occur just above the teeth in the alveolar portion of the maxilla or mandible. Often, a plate or a group of teeth are loose and blood may be found at the gingiva. Dentoalveolar fractures and fractures with dentoalveolar extension involve only the alveolar ridge and associated teeth and are, by definition, open fractures (Figure 8).

Figure 7. Mandible Showing Anatomic Regions Where Fractures Occur

The cause of the injury has some relationship to the location of the fracture. A population-based analysis of over ten thousand hospitalizations for mandibular fractures described the incidence and causes of these fractures. In a large data study, the most common anatomic site for a fracture of the mandible was the body of the mandible from the symphysis to the angle of the mandible, including the alveolar ridge (43.5%). Approximately 24.1% occurred in the ascending ramus of the mandible (between the condyle and the angle). The remaining mandibular fractures occurred in multiple regions or the region was not specified. This study did not deal with patients who were not hospitalized, so there may be some differences in the out-patient population.

This study found the most common cause of mandibular fractures to be assaults (50-75%). Injuries sustained in altercations are more often located in the mandibular angle region. They are more common on the left than on the right, since the right-handed person will usually strike a blow on the left jaw. In altercations, the combination of a fracture of the mandibular angle on the side of impact and the opposite mandibular symphysis or body fracture is common. The next most common cause was motor vehicle collisions, followed by falls. The rates for assaults were three times higher than for motor vehicle collisions. The study showed that use of motorcycle helmets decreased the incidence of mandibular fractures from motor vehicle collisions by 57% in one year alone. High velocity impact also tends to increase the frequency of comminuted fractures and fractures in multiple regions of the mandible.

Although delay in treatment has been implicated in infectious complications in mandibular fractures, this was not shown to be the case in at least one retrospective study. In this study, intravenous drug use was the single largest comorbidity for infectious complications. Likewise, use of perioperative antibiotics had no benefit in reducing the incidence of infections in patients undergoing surgical repair of mandibular fractures. The complication rate of mandibular fractures is most correlated with the severity of the fracture. Minor correlates were alcohol and tobacco use.

Pediatric patients are more likely than adults to sustain a greenstick or incomplete fracture of the mandible. This is due to the relative elasticity of the pediatric bones in comparison to the adult’s. Because of the tooth buds and developing crypts, pediatric fractures may be longer and more irregular in character than similar fractures in adults. However, they are less likely to have comminution of the fracture. Pediatric mandibular fractures may occur as a result of abuse.

**Maxillary Fractures**

The maxillae bones are the largest bones of the face; together, they form the upper jaw. The maxilla (singular) consists of a body and four processes: zygomatic, frontal, alveolar, and palatine. The maxilla forms the hard palate, floor of the nose, part of the orbits, and the tooth sockets of the upper teeth.

Maxillary fractures are less common than mandibular fractures and are often associated with other facial fractures. Complaints such as, “My bite isn’t right” are common as most maxillary fractures involve the dental occlusion. Children are much less likely to have maxillary fractures until age 10 due to the enhanced malleability of their bones and the stronger unerupted dentition.

Classically, maxillary fractures are broken down into the Le Fort classification, which was created in 1900 by Rene Le Fort who used cadaver trauma to provide detailed descriptions of three basic types of midfacial fractures (Figure 9).

The **Le Fort I** fracture is a horizontal fracture above the roots of the teeth and extends from the piriform sinus of the nose to the pterygomaxillary fissure, separating the maxillary turbosity from the pterygoid plates. The mobile fragment of maxillary bone is often likened to a loose upper denture, containing the teeth and palate. The fracture results from a horizontal blow applied to the anterior maxilla and can be a single fragment or comminuted fragments.
The **Le Fort II** fracture courses upward through the infraorbital rim, through the medial orbit and the nasal bones. Since the fragment forms a triangular shape, this is often called a pyramidal fracture.

The **Le Fort III** fracture crosses the maxilla, naso-ethmoid complex, and the zygoma (Figure 10). This fracture is often termed a craniofacial dislocation or separation since the entire midface is now mobile. A complete bilateral Le Fort III fracture is rare and caused by massive trauma. Spinal fluid leakage is common. The remaining soft tissue attachments are often only the optic nerves, so gentle evaluation is appropriate.

A “simple” Le Fort fracture is actually uncommon for two reasons. First, rarely do fractures in the midface follow the suture lines describe by Le Fort. The fractures follow the path of least resistance and may be comminuted and multiple. In reality, the single-piece Le Fort fracture may be composed of multiple pieces with the nasal section or zygomatic section disconnected from the maxillary tooth-bearing fragment. Secondly, blows to the face are often from an angle, so that a facial fracture may have a Le Fort II component on one side and a Le Fort III component on the other side.

### Frontal Bone Fractures

The frontal sinus ranges from negligible to filling the entire forehead region of the frontal bone. The forehead (more properly: the outer table or squama) and the zygomatic processes are very thick, consisting of diploic tissue contained between two compact laminae; the diploic tissue is absent in the regions occupied by the frontal air sinuses. The orbital portion is thin, translucent, and composed entirely of compact bone and mucous membrane. The outer table is thick and heavy. The inner portion of the inner table is lined with the dura mater of the meninges.

Frontal sinus injuries in both adults and children often result from blunt trauma, such as an unrestrained passenger hitting the dashboard or windshield in a motor vehicle collision. Motor vehicle collisions are the leading cause of frontal sinus fractures, but this has decreased since the adoption of mandatory seat belt laws coupled with airbag technology.

When compared to other facial fractures, fractures of the frontal sinus are uncommon – probably due to the thickness of the bony ridges involved. The frontal sinus is fractured in 5-30% of patients who sustain maxillofacial injuries. The frontal sinus is relatively resistant to fracture and a significant amount of force is needed for a fracture to occur. It takes about 800-1600 foot-pounds of pressure to fracture the anterior table of the frontal bone. In general, the greater the magnitude of force applied, the more likely that both tables of the bone will be broken. In one series, only 24% of patients were conscious at the time of initial evaluation.

In general, repair of the posterior table is important for preventing central nervous system complications such as pneumocephalus or CSF leak. Repair of the anterior table is important for cosmetic reasons. Lacerations frequently accompany frontal sinus fractures and may obscure the deeper part of the injury. The examiner should be wary when dealing with a patient who has been struck in the forehead. These injuries should be carefully explored to ensure that any fractures are found. A CT of the head is indicated for complete evaluation, since patients can have a displaced posterior table fracture without palpable anterior fractures. Crepitus may be found when the patient has multiple fragments of bone that are mobile.

At birth, the frontal sinuses originate either as an expansion of the frontoethmoid air cells into the frontal bone or by superior extension of the frontal recess. The frontal recess represents the most anterior and superior portion of the infundibulum of the middle meatus. The frontal sinus is not pneumatized until the age of two and it can be first appreciated radiographically in individuals six to eight years of age. Pneumatization is often not symmetric and may be partial or incomplete in approximately 20% of adults. Adult size of the frontal sinus is attained between 15 and 19 years of age. Since the pediatric frontal sinus is often not present, fractures of the frontal sinus are nearly twice as common in the adult population than in the pediatric population.

### Differential Diagnosis

Since the etiology of the injury is often known, the clinician is left with identifying what was injured and to what extent it was damaged. The differential diagnosis of facial trauma contains all of the fractures, soft tissue abrasions, contusions, and lacerations discussed in this monograph. The examiner must be careful not to stop the evaluation simply because one fracture or injury is noted. Multiple studies have shown that as many as 30% of patients have two or more fractures or injuries.
**Prehospital Care**

There is very little research on the prehospital care of the patient with maxillofacial trauma. When emergency services personnel are evaluating the patient, they need to ensure the ABC's of trauma care (as discussed in the next section). This is particularly important in the management of facial trauma where cervical spine damage can simultaneously occur with airway damage or impingement by swelling or significant bleeding.

**ED Management**

Upon arrival to the emergency department, the physician should re-evaluate the patient with the same four emergency steps recommended for prehospital providers (listed below).

Severe facial trauma often results in partial airway occlusion. The airway risk may increase due to bleeding, swelling in soft tissues, and hematoma formation. Patients with severe facial fractures may have decreased level of consciousness due to intracranial injury. While lifting the jaw and inserting an oral or nasal airway may temporarily help the situation, neck fractures are also common in these patients. Only when the patient’s airway is stable, breathing is intact, bleeding has been controlled, and the cervical spine has been immobilized should the physician proceed in the secondary evaluation.

1. The physician MUST ensure an airway if this has not been done by prehospital providers.

   Airway compromise is common in persons with severe maxillofacial injuries. Assess the patient for airway obstruction. Look for evidence of injury to the larynx and trachea, including crepitus of the surrounding soft tissues. Clinically, the patient may have noisy breathing, snoring, gurgling, or croaking. Remove foreign bodies with finger sweep, strong suction, or Magill forceps under direct visualization. After foreign bodies are removed, endotracheal intubation and assisted ventilation may be appropriate. In some patients, cricothyroidotomy or emergent tracheotomy may be necessary. (Emergent tracheotomy may be needed with an associated fractured larynx. Hoarseness, subcutaneous emphysema, and a palpable fracture are suggestive of laryngeal fracture.) Airway compromise may require an immediate operation to reduce the fractured facial bones that are impinging in the airway.

2. The physician MUST ensure that the patient is breathing and continues to breathe.

   Blood or edema resulting from the injury can cause upper airway obstruction. The tongue may obstruct the airway in a patient with a mandibular fracture. A fractured free-floating maxilla can fall back, obstructing the airway. Displaced tooth fragments can become foreign bodies in the airway.

3. The physician MUST assess the circulation and control bleeding.

   Blood is supplied to the midface region from the branches of the sphenopalatine artery and greater palatine artery as well as branches of the internal carotid artery (such as the anterior and posterior ethmoid branch of the ophthalmic artery). Assuming that coagulopathy is absent, severe bleeding resulting from maxillofacial trauma is rare and the source can often be properly controlled in the ED.

   Control of facial vascular injuries proceeds from simple direct wound pressure to vessel ligation for significant bleeding. Vessel ligation should only be performed under direct visualization of the bleeding vessel. Blind clamping can damage critical structures such as the facial nerve or the parotid duct. A Foley catheter placed into a wound and inflated may control bleeding from penetrating wounds.

   Oropharyngeal bleeding must be controlled to ensure that the airway remains patent. This bleeding is often from the nose and associated structures that drains through the posterior nasopharynx into the oral cavity. Posterior nasal packing should be considered early in the control of oropharyngeal bleeding in any patient who has significant facial trauma. As mentioned, a nasal balloon or Foley catheter may be inserted into the cranial vault in a patient with severe facial trauma and basilar skull or cribiform plate fracture. If severe disruption of the hard palate or maxilla within the oral cavity occurs, control of the airway and packing of the oral cavity may also be required.

   Continued severe bleeding may require immediate surgery to ligate associated major vessels or to reduce broken facial bones and control hemorrhage. Transcatheter arterial embolization may be an alternative to surgical control of hemorrhage. This requires an arteriogram to visualize the site of bleeding before introduction of the embolus.

   Large open wounds should be debrided and closed in a layered fashion. This will both decrease blood loss and subsequent infectious complications. Wounds that may be used later for access to repair fractures may be closed in a temporary fashion.

4. The physician MUST assess for neurological disability and ensure that the patient’s cervical spine is protected from further damage.

   During airway control, maintain cervical spinal immobilization in bluntly injured patients. Unstable cervical spine injury is quite rare in neurologically intact penetrating facial wounds, but up to 10% of patients with significant blunt facial injuries will also have cervical spine injury.
Conversely, 15-20% of cervical spine injuries are associated with facial fractures.

**History**

Ask the responsive patient the following questions:

1. **Which areas on you face hurt?** Although this is a basic question, the presence of pain in a specific location can direct the examiner towards the site of a fracture. This question may not be as reliable in the intoxicated patient or the patient with multiple injuries.

2. **Are there any areas of numbness on your face?** Any sensory deficit may show where a facial fracture has occurred, and the fragments either impinge upon or have damaged the bony canals / grooves / foramina where the branches of the trigeminal nerve run.
   
   a. **Is your lip or chin numb?** The inferior alveolar nerve runs through the center of the mandible from the middle of the ramus to the mental foramen, where it exists to provide sensation to the lower lip and chin. If the patient feels numb on the lower lip or chin, it is likely that there is a fracture on the side of the numbness.

   b. **Is your cheek, upper lip, side of the nose, or upper gingiva numb?** The infraorbital and superior alveolar nerves provide sensation to the maxillary teeth and gingiva, the upper lip, the side of the nose, and the lower eyelid. If the patient has numbness here, it is likely that a fracture exists in the maxilla or orbital floor.

   c. **Is your lower eyelid, cheek, or upper lip numb?** The infraorbital nerve courses along the floor of the orbit. It is often disrupted by a zygomaticomaxillary complex fracture.

3. **Does your bite feel ‘normal’?** Mandibular and/or maxillary fractures are commonly associated with the feeling that the bite is not ‘normal.’ The location of contact of the teeth can often help the clinician find the site of the fracture.

4. **Does it hurt when you open your mouth? Where does it hurt?** Pain when the patient attempts functional movements of the mandible can indicate the presence of fractures of the mandible or maxilla. Contusions of the mandible or the temporomandibular joint can also produce similar pain. For example, pre-auricular tenderness with mandibular movement can indicate a condylar process fracture. Pain in the area of the cheek when the patient attempts to open their mouth can indicate a zygomaticomaxillary complex fracture. Pain at the angle of the mandible can indicate a fracture in that area. The masseter muscle attaches to the body of the zygoma and inserts onto the mandibular ramus. When fractures of the zygomaticomaxillary complex occur, they cause contraction of the masseter muscle and subsequent trismus. Rarely, the zygoma can be displaced so that it impinges on the coronoid process of the mandible, limiting the opening of the jaw.

5. **Are you having trouble seeing?** If possible, pre-injury visual status should be reviewed with the patient. The state of the vision immediately after the trauma should also be determined. Loss of light perception that returns suggests either a vascular occlusion or an optic nerve contusion. Immediate and persistent loss of light perception implies severe damage to the retina or optic nerve. Initial good vision that deteriorates may suggest a compressive ocular neuropathy and constitutes an emergency. If the patient reports flashing lights or ‘floaters,’ a retinal tear or detachment or a vitreous hemorrhage should be suspected. Other ophthalmologic conditions to include in the differential are corneal abrasions, traumatic iritis, globe rupture, and lens dislocation. See our September 2007 issue, An Evidence-Based Approach To Abnormal Vision, at www.ebmedicine.net/redirect/?topic=emp.

6. **Do you see double?** The presence of diplopia can indicate a periorbital fracture with or without impingement of the extraocular muscles. It is a relatively non-specific symptom and can be caused by periorbital edema alone. If the patient notes diplopia, CT of the orbits/facial bones is indicated. Ocular symptoms of orbital facial fractures include orbital pain, enophthalmos, and vertical diplopia.

7. **Does your neck hurt?** This is not an unreasonable question given the association of facial trauma and cervical spine injuries.

If possible, the events that surround the injury should be obtained from the patient, the police, or EMS providers. Remember that the patient’s account may differ from other potentially more reliable sources. This history can provide clues to the type of injuries that the patient has sustained. For example, blunt trauma to the face is more likely to result in fractures, while a sharp penetrating injury can injure nerves and major vessels. Interpersonal alterations tend to result in a higher incidence of nasal, blow-out, and mandibular fractures, while motor vehicle accidents result in more serious trauma. Heightened concern for serious injury should be present when evaluating the patient who has had a high velocity blow to the face, such as a baseball, baseball bat, or golf club impact.

Past medical history should always be assessed when possible. Look for seizure disorders, alcohol abuse, prior head and neck trauma or surgery, temporomandibular joint problems, and nutritional or metabolic derangements. Use of ‘blood thinning’ agents such as aspirin, warfarin, clopidogrel (Plavix®), or enoxaparin (Lovenox®) should always be ascertained as these agents may increase bleeding both in the wounds and within the skull.
Physical Examination

A careful physical examination is paramount for the diagnosis of craniofacial injury, since additional and potentially life-threatening injuries are not uncommon. During this initial survey, life-threatening injuries and systemic medical problems should be addressed.

The airway is the first critical injury that may be associated with facial trauma. The patient who has sustained a maxillofacial fracture may have airway compromise due to loss of tongue support secondary to facial fractures or obstruction of the airway due to blood or debris. The unconscious patient with significant facial trauma needs rapid sequence intubation or a surgical airway as indicated by the patient condition and ease of intubation. This intubation should be performed with appropriate cervical spinal precautions.

The patient who has sustained a significant facial fracture should be assumed to have an associated cervical spine injury. Studies have shown that approximately 10% of patients with facial fractures have injuries of the cervical spine. Suspicion of concomitant spinal injury can range from low in the isolated nasal fracture from a blow to very high in the complex facial fracture sustained in a high-speed motor vehicle accident.

Once the patient’s airway, breathing, and hemodynamic status have been stabilized and the patient has been evaluated for cervical spinal trauma, perform a systematic secondary survey. Determine and appropriately manage the tetanus status of all patients. Gently remove dried blood and foreign bodies from wound sites in order to evaluate the depth and extent of the injury.

As always in the trauma patient, seek associated injuries. The patient with facial injuries often has an altered sensorium and may not relate such details as sustaining a fight bite laceration to the hand. A comprehensive physical examination to ensure that no significant other lesions are missed is not just ‘nice to have’... it’s imperative.

Examine the patient for dental trauma and malocclusion. Examine the oral cavity for lacerations, penetrating injuries, and continuing bleeding. The tongue is frequently lacerated in facial trauma and can produce both airway compromise with swelling and significant bleeding. Explore soft tissue injuries within the oral cavity for tooth fragments and other foreign bodies. Note areas of ecchymosis and facial swelling.

Evaluate the dentition and account for all empty tooth sockets. Teeth can be displaced into soft tissues, pushed into the socket, avulsed with subsequent aspiration, swallowed, or left at the scene. Any missing teeth require a chest x-ray to ensure that a tooth has not been aspirated. Evaluate the dentition for mobility which would indicate an underlying alveolar fracture. The presence of a step-off or irregularity of the dentition may indicate an underlying fracture. The presence of blood at the gingiva should also prompt a search for underlying fracture. Frequently, hematomas on the lingual side of the jaw may extend into the floor of the mouth, causing discoloration and swelling of the floor of the mouth. Check for stability of the teeth in both the upper and lower jaw.

The clinical examination of the face begins with a detailed examination of the area for localized tenderness, numbness, bleeding, deformity, ecchymosis, periorbital edema, otorrhea, rhinorrhea, and facial asymmetry. Facial asymmetry is often easiest to examine by looking down from the head of the bed. The superior and inferior orbital rims, zygomatic arches, nose, maxilla, mandible, and both alveolar ridges should be palpated and evaluated.

Zygoma fractures commonly present with periorbital ecchymosis, lateral subconjunctival hemorrhage, infraorbital hypoesthesia, bony step-off of the orbital rim, and depression of the malar eminence. Displacement of the bone medially may impinge on the coronoid process of the mandible, resulting in trismus. Most zygomaticomaxillary fractures occur through the frontozygomatic suture, and a step-off may be found at the junction of the superior one-third and inferior two-thirds of the lateral orbital rim.

A depression of the malar eminence with tenderness suggests either a zygoma, zygomatic arch, or tripod fracture. A zygomatic arch fracture can be clinically difficult to find as the only sign may be a depression of the arch or a decreased range of mouth opening. The patient may have marked edema due to the associated soft tissue trauma, so the depression may be obscured. The patient may note pain in the cheek, pain in the cheek on movement of the jaw, or trismus. Trismus may be marked when the zygomatic fracture impinges on the temporalis muscle. A flat malar arch may best be assessed by palpation from behind the patient’s head or viewing the supine patient from above the patient’s head. Compare symmetry with the opposite side.

Suscet a tripod fracture after a blow to the cheek resulting in marked periorbital ecchymosis. There may be flattening of the malar eminence, but resultant soft tissue trauma can obscure the flattening.

If the zygoma is displaced inferiorly, it may cause depression of the lateral canthus. When the fracture extends through the orbit, the infraorbital nerve may be damaged or bruised which results in hypesthesia of the distribution of the infraorbital nerve.

If the examiner palpates the zygomaticomaxillary arch from within the mouth, a step-off may be found. Another step-off point is at the zygomaticofrontal suture or on the zygoma.

Closely examine the eyes, even if the eye is swollen shut. Check for injury, abnormality of ocular movements, and visual acuity when possible. Eyelid
ecchymosis, subcutaneous emphysema, ptosis, epistaxis, lacrimal system injuries, and pupillary dilation may each be associated with facial fractures. Pay special attention to assessing extraocular motility for extraocular muscle entrapment and to ensure that there is no orbital compartment syndrome present.25

Whenever there is enough lid swelling or periorbital edema to restrict voluntary eyelid opening, use great caution. Consider the mechanism of injury and search for a penetrating wound. The presence of hyphema, vitreous hemorrhage, or inability to visualize the fundi should prompt an urgent ophthalmologic consultation. If there is a through and through lid laceration, call the ophthalmologist to repair the tarsal plate which gives structure to the lids.

Midface stability should also be evaluated. This can be accomplished by grasping the teeth and hard palate and gently pushing back and forth and then up and down. Look for movement or instability of the midface. If mobility is detected, determine the level of the fractures by palpating with the other hand on the face over the bridge of the nose, the infraorbital rims, and along the zygoma.

A Le Fort I fracture includes facial edema and mobility of the hard palate. Grasp the incisors and hard palate and gently push in and out. In a Le Fort I fracture, these structures will move.

A Le Fort II fracture has marked facial edema, bilateral subconjunctival hemorrhage, and mobility of the maxilla. Telecanthus is usually appreciated. The patient may have either epistaxis or CSF rhinorrhea. If the nasal bridge moves along with the maxilla, a Le Fort II fracture should be suspected.

A Le Fort III fracture has facial flattening and elongation. The maxilla may be displaced posteriorly, leaving the mouth open. Grasping the anterior teeth and moving them will result in movement of the entire front face (craniofacial dislocation). CSF rhinorrhea and epistaxis are likely both present. If the nose, infraorbital rims, and the zygoma move together with the maxilla, a Le Fort III fracture is probable.

The physical examination should involve both internal and external evaluation of the nose, regardless of the mechanism of injury. Palpate the nose for tenderness and crepitus. Clinical evidence of a nasal fracture includes swelling, tenderness, deformity, epistaxis, crepitus, nasal airway obstruction, and periorbital ecchymosis. In examination of the nose, note the degree of bony deformity (laterally or depressed) as well as the presence of cartilaginous deformity and associated soft tissue injury (such as mucosal laceration, soft tissue swelling, epistaxis, septal or orbital hematoma, and subcutaneous emphysema).

Inspect the nasal septum for septal hematoma. A bulging, bluish, tender septal swelling or mass indicates a septal hematoma and requires evacuation of the hematoma.

Examine the nose for CSF fluid. The presence of CSF rhinorrhea indicates disruption of the base of the skull, most commonly at the cribriform plate of the
ethmoid bone (associated with a naso-ethmoid fracture or from disruption of the posterior wall of the frontal sinus). An alternative site is a basal skull fracture or temporal bone fracture that leaks into the middle ear and then drains through the eustachian tube into the nose.

Look at the nose for telecanthus and widening of the nasal bridge. Widening of the intercanthal distance (> 40 mm) suggests the possibility of a nasoorbito-ethmoid fracture.

A frontal laceration should make the examiner particularly suspicious of an underlying fracture in the patient who has been involved in a motor vehicle collision. The laceration must be carefully examined for a bony step-off. The orbits should also be carefully evaluated. The deformity of a fracture is often hidden by edema, so the physical examination alone may not be sufficient in the patient with substantial forehead lacerations.

Fractures of the mandible present in different fashions depending on the location and the severity of the injury. A fracture can be obvious if it has a large degree of displacement and has caused lacerations in the mucosa or skin. During the examination, look for signs of asymmetry and swelling. The most common presenting symptoms of patients with mandibular fractures are pain and malocclusion. Additional signs and symptoms include intraoral bleeding, lower lip and chin hypoesthesia or anesthesia, trismus, deviation with jaw movement, swelling or hematoma of the floor of the mouth, and ecchymosis of the gingiva.43,44 Although a sublingual hematoma is not a consistent finding, it is strongly suggestive of a mandibular fracture when present. Any of these findings should prompt the examiner to obtain further radiographic studies.

Occasionally, a patient will have a widened appearance to the face. This may occur when both condyles are fractured combined with a fracture of the symphysis allowing the mandible to open like a book.

For the tongue blade test (TBT), have the awake patient grip a tongue blade with his/her teeth and then break it by rotation (twisting).45 If the patient is able to do this on both sides of the jaw, it is unlikely that a mandibular fracture exists.46 This test has been shown to be 95.7% sensitive if the patient can break the blade without pain.45

The external auditory canal can occasionally be damaged by a fracture of the mandible, so the canal should be visualized bilaterally in patients who have had trauma to the jaw. The temporal-mandibular joint (TMJ) can be felt by placing a finger into the ear canal and pressing forward (anteriorly). This may be more sensitive than palpation of the TMJ in the preauricular area. If the patient has no pain here, fracture is less likely.

In the conscious and cooperative patient, a detailed cranial nerve (CN) examination should be performed.47 The optic nerve (cranial nerve II) can be assessed by having the patient read and by visual field acuity. Extraocular movements test the integrity of CN III, IV, and VI.48

Evaluate the supraorbital, infraorbital, inferior alveolar, and mental nerve distributions for hypesthesia or anesthesia. Hypoesthesia of the face suggests cranial nerve V injury. Injury of the facial nerve (CN VII) produces paresis or paralysis of the muscles of facial expression.

The cranial nerve examination of the unconscious patient is more difficult and relies on the testing of brain stem reflexes.47 Assessment of vision can be quite difficult. Pupillary reflexes may remain intact as long as the efferent pathway of cranial nerve III is intact, even when complete unilateral vision loss is present.49 Evaluation of the CN II pathway and the efferent CN III parasymathetic pathway is possible in the unconscious patient with the swinging flashlight test. Testing patients with unilateral afferent CN II damage reveals bilateral equal papillary constriction when the flashlight is directed towards the eye with remaining vision. When the light is directed towards the damaged eye, both pupils will dilate. This is the Marcus-Gunn pupillary reflex.49 See Volume 9 Number 9, An Evidence-Based Approach To Abnormal Vision, for a complete description of this test.

In the unconscious patient, extraocular movements can be tested with the doll’s eye reflex (oculocephalic reflex). Testing of the gag reflex evaluates CN IX and CN X. The ice-water caloric test evaluates the function of CN VIII. To perform this test, wash the auditory canal and tympanic membrane with iced water; this will cause the patient to develop nystagmus. This test should not be performed when there is a rupture of the tympanic membrane. The corneal reflex tests the afferent fibers of CN V and the efferent fibers of CN VII. For this test, touching the cornea with a small wisp of cotton causes the eyelid to close.

**Laboratory Analysis**

Distinguishing between CSF and serous nasal secretions may be difficult. Blood from head injured patients may mix with CSF and mask the recognition of a leak. The simplest tests for CSF fluid are easy to perform but are not very accurate. If a sufficient sample of nasal drainage can be obtained, it can be sent to the lab and analyzed. CSF is odorless, salty, and has a specific gravity of 1.006. The protein level is much less than nasal fluid, while the chloride level is greater. More importantly, CSF has a greater concentration of glucose than mucus or lacrimal secretions. The quantitative determination of a glucose level in nasal fluid not contaminated by blood can be diagnostic of CSF rhinorrhea if the nasal fluid contains more than 30 mg/dL. Negative test results for glucose virtually eliminate the possibility of CSF. CSF will separate from blood when the mixture is placed on filter paper, resulting in a central area of blood with an outer ring or halo. Blood mixed with tap water, saline, and rhinorrhea fluid also produces a ring. The
Diagnostic Imaging Studies

After the patient has been stabilized and examined, appropriate radiographs and computerized tomograms (CTs) can be obtained. A patient with suspected cranial trauma should have CT scans of the brain as well as facial bone-specific radiographs and CTs. If there are obvious or suspected facial fractures, an appropriate approach would be to obtain axial cuts from the top of the skull through the entire cervical spine. Current generation spiral CT scanners can perform such an examination within minutes. Reconstruction of the films with computer-generated three-dimensional imaging allow examination of the entire face.

Radiographs

A basic facial series consists of three or four films: a Waters view (PA view with cephalad angulation), a Caldwell view (PA view), a lateral view, and a submentovertex view occasionally. If a nasal fracture is suspected, then a lateral view of the nasal bone with special nasal technique may be done. Of these views, the most consistently helpful view in facial trauma is the Waters view. It tends to show all of the major facial structures at least as well and often better than other radiographic views of the face.

There are some suggestions that help with interpretation of the facial bone series.

1. Look at the orbits…carefully! Sixty to seventy percent of all facial fractures involve the orbit in some way. It is important to look carefully at the orbital borders and apex as well as the optic canal.

   Exceptions include:
   a. A localized nasal bone fracture
   b. A zygomatic arch fracture
   c. A LeFort I fracture

2. Know the common facial fracture patterns and look for them.

   a. Zygomaticomaxillary complex fracture (tripod fracture)
   b. LeFort I, II, and III
   c. Zygomatic arch
   d. Orbital blow-out fracture

Radiographic Views Of The Nose

The use of x-ray to evaluate the patient with simple nasal trauma is of limited value as decisions regarding the treatment of nasal trauma are based on clinical findings. A plain nasal bone view cannot be reliable in exclusion of a naso-ethmoid fracture and CT scan is therefore appropriate with persistent epistaxis, CSF rhinorrhea, or both.

If deformity persists after the resolution of the edema, films may be ordered at follow-up examination to help plan the repair. This would not be the usual responsibility of the emergency physician. Omission of nasal films is cost-effective, since most nasal fractures will need no reduction.

Although isolated nasal fractures do not generally require radiographic studies, it is appropriate to order a CT scan after a thorough history and physical examination when other facial fractures are suspected. Three-dimensional reconstruction may help the consultant plan surgical repair.

Radiographic Views Of The Orbit

The degree of orbital floor displacement and the presence of soft tissue protruding through a fracture are diagnosed accurately with coronal CT scans of the orbit and facial bones. Axial scans are useful but are not as accurate. Surgical intervention may be indicated when there is significant orbital floor disruption, entrapment, enophthalmos, or persistent diplopia.

Radiographic Views Of The Zygoma

Zygomatic arch fractures can be seen on the under-exposed submental view (sometimes called a bucket-handle view). In this view, the arches will appear like bucket-handles, hence the nickname. A zygomatic arch fracture can also be seen on Water’s view, a
Towne view, or the facial series. If a tripod fracture is suspected, obtain the Water’s view, Caldwell view, and the bucket-handle view. The Caldwell view evaluates the zygomatico-frontal suture and the frontal process of the zygoma. If at all possible when dealing with a suspected orbitozygomatic fracture, obtain a CT of the area. Although the Water’s view may show some signs of a fracture, plain films are considered inadequate for evaluation of a fracture of the zygoma.56

Radiographic Views Of The Mandible
The mandibular series of radiographs consists of two lateral oblique films, a reverse Towne’s view, and an anterior-posterior projection. The Towne’s view is an AP view with the neck flexed forward. It is best to visualize condylar regions and the ascending rami of the mandible; a PA view is helpful in seeing the mandibular symphysis. In cases where missing teeth are unaccounted for, perform a chest x-ray to evaluate for aspiration. Cervical spine fractures are present in approximately 2% of patients with mandibular fractures and should be evaluated routinely.28

Condylar and coronoid fractures are more difficult to detect than those in other areas of the mandible. When the mandible is injured, it behaves as if it were a complete ring. The ring is rigid and connected at each end to the skull by a firm joint. If one fracture of the mandible is found in a radiograph, another fracture or dislocation is quite likely to be present. Fractures of the angle of the mandible on one side will often have a fracture of the mandibular condyle on the other side.

The single most informative plain film radiologic study used in diagnosing mandibular fractures is the panoramic radiograph (Figure 11).52 When the panoramic radiograph is compared with simple radiographs, it is clear that the panoramic radiograph is superior to the plain film radiograph for diagnosis of mandibular fractures.53,54 In one study, the panoramic radiograph was shown to diagnose 92% of fractures of the mandible.28 The panoramic radiograph provides the ability to view the entire mandible in one radiograph.

The utility and accuracy of CT depends upon the generation of the machine and the techniques used. If available, helical CT scanning may have enhanced imaging quality and equivalent sensitivity in the identification of mandibular fractures. Spiral scanning machines are significantly more accurate than older machines and may replace the panoramic radiograph as the diagnostic tool of choice.55 In fact, helical computed tomography was 100% sensitive in diagnosing fractures of the mandible compared with a Panorex, which was 86% sensitive.28 On the other hand, another study showed that the number and anatomical location of mandible fractures identified by helical CT and panoramic tomography were not significantly different.70

Radiographic Views Of The Maxilla And Midface
The midface skeleton is much more difficult to assess using plain films than is the mandible. The presence of very thin bones, fluid-filled sinuses (congestion vs. blood), and soft tissues make accurate assessment problematic. Diagnosis of all midface fractures has been enhanced by high-resolution CT scanning. Axial and coronal CT scans with thin cuts of the facial bones are recommended for all of these fractures (Figures 12 and 13).

Radiographic Views Of The Frontal Bone
A CT of the head is indicated for complete evaluation of a frontal bone injury, since patients can have a displaced posterior table fracture without palpable anterior fractures. Crepitus may be found when the patient has multiple fragments of bone that are mobile. CT’s not only allow the evaluation of the anterior and posterior table of the frontal bone, they allow the examiner to evaluate for fluid within the sinuses, the presence of intracerebral injuries or air within the cranial cavity, and associated facial fractures.

Figure 11. Panoramic View Of An Unfavorable Mandibular Fracture Dental

This demonstrates a mandibular fracture with obvious misalignment due to the distracting forces of the masseter muscle. Reprinted from Amrhein, Edward S. Copyright © 2007 The McGraw-Hill Companies. All rights reserved.

Figure 12. Axial CT Of The Maxilla

Special Considerations

Occult Mandibular Fractures

In the awake patient, abnormal dental occlusion indicates a probable fracture of the mandible.

Pediatric Injuries

An important difference between pediatric facial fractures and adult facial fractures is that injuries can result in growth dysplasias in children. Facial fractures in children are often missed on standard facial radiographs. The locations of fractures most likely to be missed in pediatric facial bone studies are the ethmoid and sphenoid bones (100% missed), the maxilla (88% missed), the zygoma (86% missed), and the orbits (75% missed). \(^{13}\) Fractures of the frontal bone (38% missed) and the mandible (50% missed) are less likely to be missed. A CT scan of the facial bones is more important in children due to this higher likelihood of a missed fracture on plain film x-ray.

Orbital Compartment Syndrome

The presence of a retrobulbar hemorrhage (orbital compartment syndrome) is an acute emergency requiring immediate care.\(^{25}\) The tearing of blood vessels within the orbit with resultant dissection of blood into the retro-orbital area causes an acute increase in the volume of the orbital contents. The volume increase transmits pressure to the globe, causing increased intraocular pressure. The eye becomes proptotic, with hemorrhagic swelling of the conjunctiva. Ocular motility is limited and vision may be compromised. If initial medical management does not result in improvement, the emergent surgical treatment of choice is a lateral canthotomy by slitting the lateral canthal tendon to decrease the orbital pressure. Most likely, the most important factor in determining the outcome in cases of retrobulbar hemorrhage is recognizing the condition as early as possible and instituting treatment promptly.

Naso-Orbital-Ethmoid Fracture

If the inter-canthal distance in an adult is more than 40 mm (about the width of the patient’s eye), evaluate the patient for a possible naso-orbital-ethmoid fracture.

Continued Epistaxis Vs. CSF Rhinorrhea

Bleeding from the nose that continues beyond the immediate post-injury time may require the insertion of nasal packing or other commercially available devices, such as a hemostatic balloon or Mycelex sponge. The first step should be removal of the clot and the application of a topical vasoconstrictive agent. Be sure that the bleeding is not CSF rhinorrhea. For CSF rhinorrhea to occur, trauma to the anterior cranial fossa must disrupt the dura and fracture the bone. The incidence of meningitis following a CSF rhinorrhea is small and use of antibiotics is controversial.\(^{71}\) To the authors’ knowledge, no prospective controlled study has been conducted to resolve this question. In light of the best available evidence, the routine use of prophylactic antibiotics is probably not indicated, and this practice may select out resistant organisms. The decision to use and the choice of antibiotics is usually left to the consulting neurosurgeon.

Cutting Edge Controversies

Mandibular Fractures – CT Or Panoramic Film?

The superiority of panoramic films over CT has been
questioned, and this may no longer be completely accurate for all CT machines. The utility of CT depends upon the generation/techniques used. Spiral scanning machines are significantly more accurate than older machines and may replace the panoramic radiograph as the diagnostic tool of choice. There is no question that fine-cut (1-3 mm) CT scanning will delineate mandibular fractures. In one study, the helical computed tomographic scan was 100% sensitive in the diagnosis of mandibular fractures, compared with a Panorex which was only 86% sensitive. The fractures missed by the Panorex were generally in the posterior mandible. However, this study suggested that a dental root fracture may be better visualized by Panorex, particularly when the fracture is located in the angle.

A disadvantage of the panoramic radiograph is that it may not be available in some emergency departments. Additionally, a panoramic radiograph requires an upright patient, which renders it unsuitable for unstable trauma patients. It also lacks fine detail in the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), symphysis, and dental/alveolar process regions with resulting missed fracture rates of about 8%.

A maxillofacial CT may be useful in mandibular fractures if the patient has multiple midface injuries, is in a cervical collar, or cannot otherwise undergo panoramic radiography. This study will be significantly more expensive than the panoramic film. A CT of the head is obligatory if the patient has sustained a loss of consciousness due to trauma that results in a mandibular fracture. CT scanning with a spiral scanner can yield information in three-dimensional reconstructions.

The question (and controversy) is which is the most cost-effective and reliable study. Since the studies comparing the two diagnostic techniques have relatively low numbers, it is entirely possible that the two techniques remain equivalent. In this case, the best test is suggested by other factors, such as mobility of the patient, associated injuries, and cost.

Clinical Examination Of The Nose Or Radiographic Imaging?

Simple nasal fractures from isolated nasal trauma frequently require no immediate intervention, unless there is profuse epistaxis. Displaced fractures are treated by open or closed reduction of the fractured bones and/or the septum into correct anatomic positions up to 5-10 days after the fracture in adults and 3-7 days in children. A major controversy (not discussed in this monograph) among plastic and otolaryngologic surgeons is the utility of open vs. closed reduction of the nose. The use of x-rays in patients with simple nasal trauma is common in some centers but is of limited value.

In isolated nasal trauma, radiographs have a high number of false-negative results and a large but unknown number of false positive results. Multiple studies have shown that radiographs do not help the clinician when a nasal bone fracture alone is suspected.

History taking and the physical examination appear to be the best diagnostic tools for diagnosing nasal fractures and determining which nasal fractures require treatment by a consultant. Clinical evidence of a nasal fracture includes swelling, tenderness, deformity, epistaxis, crepitus, nasal airway obstruction, and periorbital ecchymosis. Nasal bone films should be abandoned as a clinical diagnosis is sufficient for accurate treatment.

Should Nasal Intubation Be Used In Suspected Facial Fractures?

Nasal intubation has several advantages during repair of facial trauma. It will allow approximation of occlusion and intraoral surgical repair of lacerations and fractures. It may be tolerated longer than oral intubation in patients with associated trauma. However, controversy has surrounded nasal intubation in the presence of facial trauma for some time. The risk of penetration of the cranial vault has been the main concern regarding this procedure. Theoretically, a fracture could allow passage of the tubes into the cranium, although a review of the literature showed this risk to be low. Marlow et al found only two documented cases of intracranial placement of an endotracheal tube. While it can’t be routinely recommended, it may be useful in select patients.

Intracranial placement of nasogastric tubes has been reported multiple times for this reason, passage of a nasogastric tube should NOT be done. There is only one reported occurrence of an intracranial placement of a nasopharyngeal airway.

Use of a fiberoptic device may prevent possible passage into the cranial vault. The fiberoptic bronchoscope/laryngoscope with a tube over the scope may prevent a disaster and — where available — should be used if nasal intubation is contemplated. This technique is not feasible if visualization is obscured with secretions, blood, or swelling.

Patients with CSF rhinorrhea should be presumed to have cribriform plate damage; therefore, they are at increased risk if any nasal tube is passed, whether a nasal endotracheal, nasopharyngeal, or naso-gastric intubation.

If the trauma involves both the mandible and the upper face and the cervical spine is still considered ‘at-risk,’ a surgical airway may be more appropriate. If the cervical spine has been adequately evaluated, oral intubation may be more appropriate. Recent anesthesia literature has advocated the “submental” approach for endotracheal intubation in facial trauma. Since this requires oral intubation prior to pushing the end of the endotracheal tube through a submental incision, it would not constitute an emergency airway technique.

Should Antibiotics Be Used For Facial Fractures?

Mandibular and sinus fractures are essentially open
Fractures that should be considered contaminated. Fractures of the mandible that are in a tooth-bearing region are compound fractures, even if non-displaced. Because bacteria from the mouth and saliva bathe the surfaces of the fracture until the soft issues heal enough to seal the wound, most clinicians recommend immediate prophylactic antibiotics. This may be changing. A recent prospective study showed no difference in the rates of wound infection in uncomplicated mandibular fractures with intraoral extension who received antibiotics versus those who received placebo only. There is no comparable study for other facial or sinus fractures regarding the use of antibiotics.

Less controversial indications for peri-operative antibiotics include heavily contaminated fractures, severely lacerated soft tissues, severely comminuted fractures, and delayed fracture treatments. Prophylaxis should be considered in patients who have valvular heart disease or prosthetic implants.

**Disposition**

Definitive repair of most facial fractures is not a surgical emergency, and treatment is often delayed in the patient with multiple injuries. A recent study comparing patients undergoing repair within three days of a mandible injury to those repaired after three days found no increase in complication rates. With the exception of fractures that significantly alter normal dental occlusion or compromise the airway (mandibular fractures and some maxillary fractures), repair of facial fractures may be delayed for as much as two weeks. After concomitant injuries and comorbid conditions are evaluated, treatment planning can begin. The timing of the repair may be left to the consulting surgeon.

**Consultation**

All patients with visual acuity changes associated with mid-facial fractures should have a consultation with ophthalmology, as 28% of patients with midfacial fractures have moderate to serious eye injuries. Minor or transient eye injuries, such as corneal abrasion, mild impairment of visual acuity and accommodation, and orbital emphysema, were found in 63% of patients. If there are any significant or questionable findings in patients with facial fractures, ophthalmologic consultation should be obtained. If the globe is proptotic and tense, a retrobulbar hematoma and subsequent orbital compartment syndrome should be suspected.

Patients with complex facial fractures or displaced fractures of the nose, zygoma, frontal, or orbital

---

**Risk Management Questions For Maxillofacial Trauma**

1. **Did you get a cervical spine film?**
   Although most facial fractures are in fairly thin and fragile bones, significant force may be applied to the head and transmitted along the cervical spine. Consider a cervical spine series even when the patient is alert and talking.

2. **Is alcohol the ONLY reason for the patient’s altered sensorium?**
   Head trauma may be associated with facial trauma and the patient usually warrants a head CT. If the patient is intoxicated, check for other etiologies.

3. **If there is a frontal sinus fracture, did you check for underlying cerebral bleeding?**
   When the thick frontal bone is broken, underlying cerebral trauma is common.

4. **Did you note the diplopia?**
   Diplopia after facial trauma is a complaint that warrants a careful neurologic examination and facial bone CT to examine for orbital fractures, masses, and displacement.

5. **Did you check for orbital compartment syndrome?**
   After life-saving measures, maintenance of vision is the next most important goal in the care of the patient with maxillofacial trauma. Expanding hematoma in the orbit can jeopardize vision in the eye with an orbital compartment syndrome. If the globe is proptotic and tense, a retrobulbar hematoma and subsequent orbital compartment syndrome should be suspected. Urgent ophthalmologic consultation is indicated.

6. **Did the patient have a nasal septal hematoma?**
   A septal hematoma can be disfiguring and should be sought/noted in the chart.

7. **Is the missing tooth aspirated?**
   A chest x-ray is appropriate when your patient has a missing tooth. Check an abdominal film to ensure that the tooth was swallowed.

8. **Is the discharge from the nose epistaxis or CSF rhinorrhea?**
   CSF rhinorrhea is common with a nasal ethmoid fracture. It requires neurosurgical consultation.

9. **Did you note the depression of the zygoma and underlying zygomatic arch and orbital/maxillary complex fracture?**
   Facial edema can mask these injuries easily. If the patient has significant facial edema, be sure to get facial bone x-rays or CT.

10. **Did you look for the fight bite injury to the hand?**
   Remember to look for associated injuries. It is not at all uncommon for the recipient of facial trauma to defend him/herself and sustain a tooth-induced laceration to the hand that requires surgical debridement.
fractures should have prompt consultation with an oral surgeon, otolaryngologic surgeon, or plastic surgeon that performs this kind of surgery.

Patients with multiple trauma and/or significant co-morbidities should have consultation with the trauma surgeon.

Admission

Most patients with complex facial injuries will be admitted to the hospital. Underlying co-morbidities, ingestion of recreational drugs and/or alcohol, and additional concomitant injuries and mechanisms of injury will drive admission to the hospital for those patients with other associated problems. The awake patient with responsible home care and isolated mandibular or nasal injuries may be safely discharged.

Follow-up

Facial fractures become difficult to move by 7-10 days and are fixed by 2-3 weeks. This may happen sooner in children. Follow-up for facial fractures should occur within this time.

Discharge Instructions

Be sure to tell the patient to expect swelling of the injured areas. Advise them this can best be handled with ice to the area and elevation. Nasal spray or similar medications may be used to decrease swelling within the nares and to allow better breathing. Sleeping in an upright position may also decrease swelling. Remind the patient to avoid laying on the injured areas or applying pressure to these areas.

Case Conclusion

4:10 a.m. Saturday morning: You just finished the evaluation of your 19-year-old ‘guest’ with significant facial trauma from the ‘remedial correction’ process at the bar. The patient’s airway was clear, no CSF was noted, and there weren’t any septal hematomas. Although you were prepared to insert a pack after examination of the nares to control the epistaxis, it resolved spontaneously. The neurological examination showed diplopia which you felt would be due to a blow-out fracture. Due to the altered sensorium, you immediately proceeded to a CT scan of facial bones, cervical spine, and head rather than plain films. CT of the neck and head were normal. CT of the facial bones showed that the patient had a mandibular fracture, nasal fracture, a tripod fracture of the left zygoma with orbital involvement, and an alveolar ridge fracture with a three-tooth fragment. A blowout fracture was confirmed on the CT scan.

Since the patient had altered sensorium from alcohol and/or head trauma and abundant facial trauma, you admitted him to the trauma service for observation and interval repair of the complex zygomaticomaxillary fracture. The ENT surgeon and the ophthalmologic surgeon are going to double team the patient for evaluation of the diplopia.
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CME Questions

1. A trauma patient is reporting difficulty biting down. The most likely factor involves the:
   a. Malar enema
   b. Zygomatic arch
   c. Mandible
   d. Vomer
   e. Glabella

2. If the patient has cerebrospinal fluid drainage from the nose after facial trauma, you should:
   a. Pack the area with gauze
   b. Increase the intravenous infusion rate
   c. Use a vasoconstrictive agent
   d. Consult the ophthalmologist
   e. Consult the neurosurgeon

3. A patient with a blow-out orbital fracture typically has trouble looking in what direction?
   a. Down
   b. Up
   c. Rightward gaze
   d. Leftward gaze
   e. Varies

4. In a patient with midfacial fractures, you should not attempt to insert a (an):
   a. Otorrhagia tube
   b. Nasogastric tube
   c. Oral airway
   d. Cricothyromy
   e. All of the above

5. A major risk seen with severe facial fractures due to blunt trauma is:
   a. Ocular injury
   b. Cervical spine injury
   c. Airway obstruction
   d. Intracranial head injury
   e. All of the above

6. A laterally directed injury to the nose is most likely to cause which of the following?
   a. Cartilaginous disruption
   b. Limitation of ocular motion
   c. Telecanthus
   d. Rhinophyma
   e. Contra lateral displacement of the nose
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7. A 22-year-old ROTC drill team member presents after hitting his right cheek with his rifle butt during practice. He reports progressive difficulty with opening his mouth. Which of the following steps in evaluation is appropriate?
   a. Treat the patient with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents
   b. Have the patient apply cold compresses.
   c. Perform imaging studies and have the patient follow up with the oral surgeon if the zygomatic arch is fractured.
   d. Consult with the dentist.
   e. Treat the patient with a muscle relaxant.

8. On examination of a patient with a severe facial fracture, you note that several teeth are missing. Your evaluation of this patient should include:
   a. Cervical spine films
   b. Head/brain CT
   c. Chest X-ray
   d. All of the above should be obtained.

Coming In Future Issues:
Jaundice
Narrow Complex Supraventricular Tachycardia

Class Of Evidence Definitions
Each action in the clinical pathways section of Emergency Medicine Practice receives a score based on the following definitions.

**Class I**
- Always acceptable, safe
- Definitively useful
- Proven in both efficacy and effectiveness
- Level of Evidence:
  - One or more large prospective studies are present (with rare exceptions)
  - High-quality meta-analyses
  - Study results consistently positive and compelling

**Class II**
- Safe, acceptable
- Probably useful
- Level of Evidence:
  - Generally higher levels of evidence
  - Non-randomized or retrospective studies: historic, cohort, or case-control studies
  - Less robust RCTs
  - Results consistently positive

**Class III**
- May be acceptable
- Possibly useful
- Considered optional or alternative treatments
- Level of Evidence:
  - Generally lower or intermediate levels of evidence
  - Case series, animal studies, consensus panels
  - Occasionally positive results

**Indeterminate**
- Continuing area of research
- No recommendations until further research
- Level of Evidence:
  - Evidence not available
  - Higher studies in progress
  - Results inconsistent, contradictory
  - Results not compelling

Significantly modified from: The Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee of the American Heart Association and representatives from the resuscitation councils of ILCOR: How to Develop Evidence-Based Guidelines for Emergency Cardiac Care: Quality of Evidence and Classes of Recommendations; also: Anonymous, Guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiac care. Emergency Cardiac Care Committee and Subcommittees, American Heart Association. Part IX. Ensuring effectiveness of community-wide emergency cardiac care. JAMA 1992;268(16):2289-2295.

Physician CME Information

**Date of Original Release:** February 1, 2008. Date of most recent review: January 19, 2008. Termination date: February 1, 2011.

**Accreditation:** This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the Essentials and Standards of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) through the joint sponsorship of Mount Sinai School of Medicine and Emergency Medicine Practice. The Mount Sinai School of Medicine is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

**Credit Designation:** The Mount Sinai School of Medicine designates this educational activity for a maximum of 48 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)™ per year. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

**ACEP Accreditation:** Emergency Medicine Practice is approved by the American College of Emergency Physicians for 48 hours of ACEP Category 1 credit per annual subscription.

**AAFP Accreditation:** Emergency Medicine Practice has been reviewed and is acceptable for up to 48 Prescribed credits per year by the American Academy of Family Physicians. AAFP accreditation begins August 1, 2008. Term of approval is for two years from this date. Each issue is approved for 4 Prescribed credits. Credits may be claimed for two years from the date of this issue.

**AOA Accreditation:** Emergency Medicine Practice has been approved for 48 Category 2B credit hours per year by the American Osteopathic Association.

**Needs Assessment:** The need for this educational activity was determined by a survey of medical staff, including the editorial board of this publication; review of morbidity and mortality data from the CDC, AHA, NCHS, and ACEP; and evaluation of prior activities for emergency physicians.

**Target Audience:** This enduring material is designed for emergency medicine physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, and residents.

**Goals & Objectives:** Upon completion of this article, you should be able to:
- Demonstrate medical decision-making based on evidence.
- Identify the most common medicolegal pitfalls for each topic covered.
- Discuss the most common medicolegal pitfalls for each topic covered.

**Discipline Of Investigation Information:** As part of the newsletter, faculty may be presenting investigational information about pharmaceutical products that are outside Food and Drug Administration approved labeling. Information presented as part of this activity is intended solely as continuing medical education and is not intended to promote off-label use of any pharmaceutical product. Disclosure of Off-Label Usage: This issue of Emergency Medicine Practice discusses no off-label use of any pharmaceutical product.

**Faculty Disclosure:** It is the policy of Mount Sinai School of Medicine to ensure objectivity, balance, independence, transparency, and scientific rigor in all CME-sponsored educational activities. All faculty participating in the planning or implementation of a sponsored activity are expected to disclose to the audience any relevant financial relationships and to assist in resolving any conflict of interest that may arise from the relationship. Presenters must also make a meaningful disclosure to the audience of their discussions of unlabeled or unapproved drugs or devices.

**Method of Participation:**
- Print Subscription Semester Program: Paid subscribers with current and valid licenses in the United States who read all CME articles during each Emergency Medicine Practice six-month testing period, complete the post-test and the CME Evaluation Form distributed with the June and December issues, and return it according to the published instructions are eligible for up to 4 hours of CME credit for each issue. You must complete both the post-test and CME Evaluation Form to receive credit. Results will be kept confidential. CME certificates will be delivered to each participant scoring higher than 70%.

- Online Single-Issue Program: Current, paid subscribers with current and valid licenses in the United States who read this Emergency Medicine Practice CME article and complete the online post-test and CME Evaluation Form at EBMedicine.net are eligible for up to 4 hours of Category 1 credit toward the AHA Physician’s Recognition Award (PRA). You must complete both the post-test and CME Evaluation Form to receive credit. Results will be kept confidential. CME certificates may be printed directly from the Web site to each participant scoring higher than 70%.

**Hardware/Software Requirements:** You will need a Macintosh or PC to access the online archived articles and CME testing. Adobe Reader is required to view the PDFs of the archived articles. Adobe Reader is available as a free download at www.adobe.com.

**EMERGENCY MEDICINE PRACTICE IS NOT AFFILIATED WITH ANY PHARMACEUTICAL FIRM OR MEDICAL DEVICE MANUFACTURER.**

**CEO:** Robert Williford  **President and Publisher:** Stephanie Williford  **Director of Member Services:** Liz Alvarez

Direct all editorial or subscription-related questions to EB Medicine: 1-800-249-5770  • Fax: 1-770-500-1316  • Non-U.S. subscribers, call: 1-678-366-7933

5550 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150  • Norcross, GA 30092

E-mail: ebm@ebmedicine.net  • Web Site: EBMedicine.net

Emergency Medicine Practice (ISSN Print: 1524-1971, ISSN Online: 1559-3908) is published monthly (12 times per year) by EB Practice, LLC, 5550 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150, Norcross, GA 30092. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of this publication. Mention of products or services does not constitute endorsement. This publication is intended as a general guide and is intended to supplement, rather than replace, substitute professional judgment. It is not intended for making specific medical decisions. The materials contained herein are not intended to establish policy, procedure, or standard of care. Emergency Medicine Practice is a trademark of EB Practice, LLC. Copyright © 2008 EB Practice, LLC. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any format without written consent of EB Practice, LLC. Individual subscription price: $299, Institutional subscription price: $899 U.S. funds. (Call for international shipping prices.)